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Abstract

Objective: Telmisartan improves glucose and lipid metabolism in rodents. This study evaluated the effect of telmisartan on insulin sensitivity, substrate utilization, selected plasma adipokines and their expressions in subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) in metabolic syndrome.

Design and methods: Twelve patients with impaired fasting glucose completed the double-blind, randomized, crossover trial. Patients received telmisartan (160 mg/day) or placebo for 3 weeks and vice versa with a 2-week washout period. At the end of each period, a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (HEC) combined with indirect calorimetry was performed. During HEC (0, 30, and 120 min), plasma levels of adipokines were measured and a needle biopsy (0 and 30 min) of SAT was performed.

Results: Fasting plasma glucose was lower after telmisartan compared with placebo (P < 0.05). There were no differences in insulin sensitivity and substrate utilization. We found no differences in basal plasma adiponectin, resistin and tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα), but an increase was found in basal leptin, after telmisartan treatment. Insulin-stimulated plasma adiponectin (P < 0.05), leptin and resistin (P < 0.001) were increased, whereas TNFα was decreased (P < 0.05) after telmisartan treatment. Expression of resistin, but not adiponectin, TNFα and leptin was increased after telmisartan treatment.

Conclusions: Despite the decrease in fasting plasma glucose, telmisartan does not improve insulin sensitivity and substrate utilization. Telmisartan increases plasma leptin as well as insulin-stimulated plasma adiponectin, leptin and resistin, and decreases plasma TNFα during HEC. Changes in plasma adipokines cannot be explained by their expressions in SAT. The changes in plasma adipokines might be involved in the metabolic effects of telmisartan in metabolic syndrome.

Introduction

Several large intervention trials have demonstrated that angiotensin II type 1 receptor antagonists (ARBs) reduce the incidence of new-onset diabetes by 20–25% (1, 2). The protective effects of ARBs during the development of diabetes are probably independent of their antihypertensive properties (3, 4).

However, the mechanisms underlying the effect of ARBs on glucose metabolism are not fully understood. Experimental evidence has been accumulated that insulin resistance (IR) may be improved by interrupting renin–angiotensin system (5). All ARBs have been shown to improve insulin sensitivity in experimental and in vitro studies through multiple mechanisms, including increase in muscle blood flow, decreased sympathetic nervous activity, enhanced insulin signalling and adipose-tissue remodelling (5, 6). Angiotensin II inhibits pre-adipocyte differentiation into mature adipocytes, which impairs the fat cells’ ability to store fat (6). This in turn results in accumulation of fats in the liver, the skeletal muscle, and the pancreas, which worsens IR. Impaired fat cells produce excessive amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines (tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα), interleukin (IL) 6, resistin, and others), whereas the insulin-sensitizing cytokines such as adiponectin are reduced (6). Blocking the angiotensin II receptor with ARBs decreases the adipocyte size (7), promotes differentiation of pre-adipocytes to mature adipocytes, and prevents the ectopic fat accumulation (8). In addition, ARBs may alter the adipokine profile.

It has been shown that ARBs increase the adiponectin protein content in isolated adipocytes (9). Increases in plasma adiponectin concentrations after
ARB treatment have been found also in human subjects by some (10–12), but not all, groups (13). The actual knowledge of the physiological roles of resistin and TNFα in altering muscle glucose and lipid metabolism is more controversial, but each has been shown to directly impair insulin signalling and consequently insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in muscles (14). The role of resistin is less understood. It is implicated in IR in rats but probably not in human subjects. Moreover, other adipokines (such as adiponectin and leptin) in physiological concentrations are insulin sparing as they stimulate β oxidation of fatty acids in skeletal muscle. The effect of ARBs on adipokines in vivo in human subjects has not been systematically evaluated until now.

Human studies investigating the effect of ARBs on insulin sensitivity are not conclusive. Most of the randomized, placebo-controlled or head-to-head studies using the hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (HEC) technique for estimation of insulin sensitivity have found no significant effect of ARBs on insulin action in human subjects (5, 15, 16). However, there is evidence that certain ARBs have a further effect on insulin sensitivity, which is mediated through peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARG). PPARG is a nuclear transcription factor which triggers the expression of multiple genes involved in glucose and lipid metabolism (17). Not all ARBs have been shown to bind to PPARG; the strongest activity has been seen with telmisartan (4, 17, 18). Based on rodent experiments, it can be hypothesized that the activation of PPARG may be involved in the ability of telmisartan to increase muscle fatty acid oxidation and energy expenditure (EE) (19). The in vivo effects of telmisartan on insulin sensitivity and substrate oxidation estimated by HEC combined with indirect calorimetry have not been studied in human subjects so far.

The aim of our study was to evaluate in vivo effects of telmisartan on insulin sensitivity, substrate utilization, basal and insulin-stimulated plasma concentrations of selected adipokines and their expressions in subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) in patients with impaired fasting glucose and metabolic syndrome.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

We enrolled 12 male patients with metabolic syndrome defined according to the NCEP-ATPIII criteria, revised in 2005 (20). Impaired fasting glucose was an obligate criterion for enrolment in the study. One patient had both impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance (confirmed by an oral glucose tolerance test). Only male subjects participated in the study in order to exclude variability in insulin sensitivity in women according to menstrual cycle and childbearing potential and with respect to sexual dimorphism of adipokines. Characteristics of study group are shown in Table 1.

Patients enrolled in the study were treatment-naive with regard to oral antidiabetic medication. Antihypertensive treatment was adjusted during the 4-week run-in period as follows: angiotensin-converting enzyme and ARB treatment had been stopped and replaced by metabolic neutral calcium channel blockers. The dose of concomitant calcium channel blocker (if required) was stable during the whole study duration. None of the patients had their dietary intake of salt or protein restricted. Patients with overt diabetes (diagnosed by an oral glucose tolerance test), acute or chronic inflammatory, or other major organ diseases were excluded from the study.

All subjects gave their informed consent with the study protocol that had been reviewed and approved by the local ethics committee. The study was performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and Title 45, Code of Federal Regulations. Part 46, Protection of Human Subjects. The EudraCT number 2006-000490-29 had been issued for our Sponsor’s Protocol Code No. 1, 1.1.2006.

Study protocol

The study was a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, crossover trial consisting of two treatment periods. After a 4-week run-in period, the subjects were randomly assigned to receive telmisartan 160 mg daily (Micardis 80 mg; Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany) or placebo for 3 weeks. After a 2-week washout period, the medication was changed and continued for another 3 weeks. Randomization was performed by standard procedure. The code was not broken until all data were entered into a database, which was locked for editing. Drug compliance was assessed by the effect on blood pressure as well as by the study drug accountability. Patients were instructed to adhere to their ordinary lifestyle and avoid changes in food intake, alcohol consumption and exercise during the whole study duration.

At the end of both 3-week periods of treatment with telmisartan or placebo, all patients underwent a 2 h HEC combined with indirect calorimetry and adipose tissue biopsies.

Table 1 Characteristics of study group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Waist (cm)</th>
<th>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>HbA1c (%)</th>
<th>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Serum triglycerides (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Plasma glucose 120 min, OGTT (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>50.0±6.02</td>
<td>29.0±4.37</td>
<td>104.6±7.8</td>
<td>133.0±12.0</td>
<td>91.3±10.0</td>
<td>3.86±0.44</td>
<td>5.51±1.1</td>
<td>0.99±0.12</td>
<td>3.2±1.22</td>
<td>2.71±1.4</td>
<td>7.6±1.8</td>
<td>6.1±0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test.
Hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp The subjects were examined on an outpatient basis after an 8–10 h overnight fast with only tap water allowed ad libitum. The HEC, lasting 2 h, was conducted as described earlier (21). Briefly, a Teflon cannula (Venflon Viggo, Helsingborg, Sweden) was inserted into left antecubital vein for infusion of all test substances. A second cannula was inserted in a retrograde manner into a wrist vein of the same hand for blood sampling, and a hand was placed in a heated (65 °C) box to achieve venous blood arterIALIZATION. A stepwise primed-continuous infusion (1 mU/kg per min of Actrapid HM; NovoNordisk, Copenhagen, Denmark) was administered to acutely raise and maintain the plasma concentration of insulin at 75 μU/ml. Plasma glucose concentrations during the clamp were maintained at 5.0 mmol/l by continuous infusion of 15% glucose. To prevent hypokalemia during insulin infusion, potassium chloride was added to 15% glucose infusion (30 mmol KCl/l of glucose). Arterialized blood plasma glucose concentration was determined every 5–10 min. Before the clamp, fasting plasma glucose levels had been checked, and at concentration lower than 6.0 mmol/l the clamp procedure was started. No glucose was infused until plasma glucose had declined to the clamp-target level.

Needle biopsy of abdominal SAT Needle biopsy of abdominal SAT was performed before (0 min) and 30 min into the clamp. Under local anaesthesia (1% trimecain in a field block pattern), an incision (3–4 mm) was made through the skin at the lower abdomen, and a subcutaneous fat specimen (300 mg) was obtained by needle aspiration. The samples were washed in 0.9% natrium chloride solution, immediately frozen by liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C until used for RNA extraction.

Indirect calorimetry Substrate utilization and EE were assessed by indirect calorimetry (22). Gas exchange measurements were performed during a 45 min basal period before starting the insulin infusion and during the last 45 min period of the clamp. A transparent plastic ventilated hood was placed over the subject’s head and made airtight around the neck. A slight negative pressure was maintained in the hood to avoid loss of expired air. A constant fraction of air flowing out of the hood was automatically collected for analysis. Airflow and O2 and CO2 concentrations in expired and inspired air were measured by a continuous open-circuit system (metabolic monitor VMAX; Sensor Medics, Anaheim, CA, USA). Blood samples were taken at 0 and 120 min of the clamp study to assess plasma levels of selected adipokines and blood urea nitrogen. Urine was collected i) during the night before the study (basal) and ii) during the clamp study (0–120 min) to measure the urinary nitrogen excretion to be able to calculate protein oxidation.

Measurements of blood pressure were performed as three time readings at the beginning of the clamp, after 30 min resting position and during the clamp.

Analytical methods

Plasma concentrations of glucose were measured using the Beckman analyzer (Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA) by glucose oxidase method. Immunoreactive insulin (IRI) was determined by RIA method using an IMMUNOTECH Insulin IRMA kit (IMMUNOTECH a.s, Prague, Czech Republic) with analytical sensitivity of 0.5 μU/ml: intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were below or equal to 4.3 and 3.4% respectively. HbA1c was measured by HPLC method (Tosoh HLC-723 G7; Tosoh Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). This analyzer uses a non-porous ion exchanger that separates HbA1c from other fractions. The method was calibrated to IFCC reference procedure (23).

Plasma concentrations of TNFα were measured by immunoassay (Human TNFα UltraSensitive RIA kit; BioSource International, Camarillo, CA, USA; the detection limit was <0.09 pg/ml, and intra- and inter-assay CV were 5.3–6.7 and 8.2–9.7% respectively); plasma concentrations of resistin were measured by Human Resistin ELISA kit (BioVendor Laboratory Medicine Inc., Brno, Czech Republic; the detection limit...
Expression of adipokines was analysed by the real-time PCR method using the following protocol:

i) The RNA was isolated from the liquid nitrogen frozen biopsy of the human fat tissue using the RNasy Lipid Tissue Mini kit and QIAzolLysis Reagent (Qiagen). The starting amount of 100 mg tissue was excised from the biopsy and homogenized in 1 ml of QIAzolLysis Reagent (guanidine thiocyanate–phenol solution) for 2 min. From the homogenate, the RNA was isolated by extraction on silica gel-based column, according to the kit handbook. Possible contamination of RNA with genomic DNA remains was taken out by DNase digestion (RNase-free DNase Set; Qiagen). This step is supposed to prevent any significant DNA amplification, e.g. by gel electrophoresis.

ii) The cDNA was synthesized using a recombinant Omniscript Reverse Transcriptase (Qiagen), ribonuclease inhibitor from human placenta (Sigma) and (dT)16 oligonucleotides.

iii) The real-time PCR procedure itself had been carried out on the DNA Engine Opticon 2 System (MJ Research, Waltham, MA, USA). HotStarTaq DNA polymerase and SYBR Green fluorescent dye (QuantiTec SYBR Green PCR kit; Qiagen) were used for the RT-PCR. To eliminate the influence of primer dimers, negative controls were used. As the reference gene, human cyclophilin was used; there is no evidence of insulin or ARB influence on cyclophilin. Primers used for RT-PCR are given in Table 2.

iv) The data were processed by Q-gene 96 software (Institute of Biochemistry and Genetics, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland).

Calculations

Insulin action was estimated as the glucose disposal (M) and metabolic clearance rate (MCR) of glucose calculated during the last 20 min of the clamp after correction for changes in glucose pool size (21). Calculations of substrate oxidation were made using standard equations (22). Urinary urea excretion during the clamp was corrected for changes in urea pool size (24). Non-oxidative glucose disposal (NEOX) was calculated by subtracting the rate of glucose oxidation from M.

Table 3 Substrate utilization before (basal) and during hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (insulin) after 3-week treatment with telmisartan or placebo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Substrate utilization before (basal) and during hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (insulin) after 3-week treatment with telmisartan or placebo.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REE (kcal/24 h)</td>
<td>1729±386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQ</td>
<td>0.79±0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chox (mg/kg per min)</td>
<td>0.69±0.42*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox (mg/kg per min)</td>
<td>0.77±0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prox (mg/kg per min)</td>
<td>0.87±0.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telmisartan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>1706±370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>1728±355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>0.77±0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>0.86±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>0.63±0.42*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>1.47±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>0.71±0.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>0.58±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>0.88±0.39*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>0.43±0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REE, resting energy expenditure; RQ, respiratory quotient; Chox, glucose oxidation; Fox, lipid oxidation; Prox, protein oxidation. Differences between telmisartan and placebo were not statistically significant. *P<0.02 versus insulin.
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**Statistical analysis**

The data are expressed as means ± S.E.M. unless indicated otherwise. Steady-state periods of both clamps were compared using Wilcoxon’s paired test. The differences between time courses of both clamps were evaluated by a repeated-measures ANOVA model, including the following factors and interactions: effect of telmisartan treatment (placebo versus telmisartan) and effect of hyperinsulinemia (clamp induced) as the within factors, subject factor (represents the inter-individual variability of subjects) and telmisartan × hyperinsulinemia interaction. The last term indicated whether the shapes of the time profiles for telmisartan and placebo were different or not. The differences between subgroups were evaluated using least significant difference (LSD) multiple comparisons. The statistical significance $P < 0.05$ was chosen for both ANOVA testing and multiple comparisons. Owing to a non-Gaussian data distribution in all dependent variables, the data underwent power transformations (directly in the ANOVA model) to attain distributional symmetry and a constant variance in the data as well as in residuals. The non-homogeneities were detected using residual diagnostics. The experimental points with absolute values of studentized residual (after data transformation) > 3 were excluded from the analysis. The fraction of such points never exceeded 5% of the total number. Stata Graphics Centurion v. XV statistical software (Stadtpoint Inc., Herndon, VA, USA) was used for the data analysis.

**Results**

Telmisartan compared to placebo treatment induced no differences in body weight (89.8 ± 19 vs 89.8 ± 18 kg) but resulted in lower blood pressure, both systolic (126 ± 10 vs 135 ± 12 mmHg; $P < 0.03$) and diastolic (81 ± 10 vs 90 ± 10 mmHg; $P < 0.01$), lower fasting plasma glucose ($P < 0.05$) and higher fasting IRI ($P < 0.05$). Fasting plasma glucose and IRI are shown in Fig. 1. Insulin action was estimated by HEC combined with indirect calorimetry. The clamps performed after treatment with telmisartan versus placebo were comparable in terms of the mean plasma glucose concentrations (5.29 ± 1.9 vs 5.34 ± 0.3 mmol/l), CV of glucose (2.89 ± 1.9 vs 2.56 ± 1.2%) and mean IRI levels. Insulin action was comparable after telmisartan and placebo. MCR (4.15 ± 1.0 vs 4.08 ± 1.9 ml/kg per min), $M$ (4.4 ± 1.8 vs 3.9 ± 1.7 mg/kg per min) and NEOX (4.1 ± 1.9 vs 3.0 ± 2.3 mg/kg per min) did not differ between telmisartan and placebo. Similarly, EE, glucose and fat oxidations have not been statistically different comparing telmisartan and placebo (Table 3).

No significant effect of telmisartan on basal plasma concentrations of selected adipokines has been detected, except of basal plasma leptin that has significantly increased after telmisartan treatment (Fig. 2C–5C).

Plasma concentrations of TNF-α during HEC are shown in Fig. 2A–C. The ANOVA model indicated (Fig. 2A) a significant decrease in plasma TNF-α in telmisartan as compared to placebo (telmisartan; $P < 0.05$), whereas no significant hyperinsulinemia effect (Fig. 2B) or interaction was detected (Fig. 2C). However, the plasma changes
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The effect of telmisartan on the substrate utilization. Additionally, we have not found any significant effect of telmisartan on the substrate utilization.

Discussion

This short-term placebo-controlled crossover study demonstrated, in accordance with other studies (25), that telmisartan decreases the fasting plasma glucose and blood pressure, whereas the insulin sensitivity assessed by hyperinsulinemic clamp technique did not change after telmisartan treatment in our study population. Thus, the decrease in blood glucose cannot be explained by the improvement in insulin sensitivity. However, we have found an increase in basal plasma IRI and this finding could partly account for the decrease in plasma glucose concentrations. Additionally, we have not found any significant effect of telmisartan on the substrate utilization.

There are still controversial results dealing with the effect of telmisartan or other ARBs on insulin sensitivity

Figure 3 Effects of telmisartan treatment and the clamp-induced hyperinsulinemia on adiponectin. The circles with error bars symbolize the group means with their 95% confidence intervals: telmisartan (T; full circles) and placebo (P; open circles). (A and D) The effect of telmisartan is illustrated; (B and E) the effect of clamp-induced hyperinsulinemia is demonstrated; (C and F) the interactions between telmisartan and hyperinsulinemia are shown. For the plasma adiponectin (A–C), the significance of the factors and interactions was as follows: telmisartan: F = 0.9, P = 0.3618; subject (inter-individual variability): F = 14.9, P < 0.0001; hyperinsulinemia: F = 0.5, P = 0.6317; telmisartan × hyperinsulinemia: F = 3.3, P = 0.0429. For the adiponectin expression (D–F), the significance of the factors and interactions was as follows: telmisartan: F = 1.3, P = 0.2709; subject (inter-individual variability): F = 6.4, P < 0.0001; hyperinsulinemia: F = 3.2, P = 0.0846; telmisartan × hyperinsulinemia: F = 0.2, P = 0.6756. Statistical significance: *P < 0.05 for differences between telmisartan and placebo in individual stages of HEC. After telmisartan do not correspond with relative expression of TNFα in subcutaneous adipose abdominal tissue. In this study, the effect of clamp-induced hyperinsulinemia (Fig. 2E) has been found to be significant (P < 0.001) and no telmisartan effect was detected (Fig. 2D), implicating the suppression of TNFα expression by insulin, which is not influenced by telmisartan. Plasma adiponectin concentrations are shown in Fig. 3A–C. Plasma adiponectin concentrations have shown a different time course during insulin-stimulated conditions in telmisartan and placebo (Fig. 3C), documented by the significant telmisartan × hyperinsulinemia interaction (P < 0.05). A significant increase in plasma adiponectin was detected by LSD multiple comparisons in 120 min of HEC (0 vs 120 min; P < 0.05) after telmisartan treatment, whereas no changes were seen after placebo treatment. Relative expressions of adiponectin were comparable during both treatment periods and clamps, and both telmisartan and hyperinsulinemia factors were not significant (Fig. 3D–F).

Figure 4A–C shows plasma leptin concentrations. Both basal and insulin-stimulated plasma leptin concentrations were significantly increased after telmisartan treatment compared to placebo treatment (telmisartan effect; P < 0.001), while telmisartan did not alter the relative expressions of leptin in SAT. There was a significant decrease in leptin expressions during insulin-stimulated conditions (HEC), as documented by the significant hyperinsulinemia factor (P < 0.001; Fig. 4E).

Plasma concentrations and tissue expressions of resistin are shown in Fig. 5. The telmisartan treatment resulted in an increase in plasma resistin concentrations, as documented by a significant telmisartan factor (P < 0.01; Fig. 5A). The multiple comparisons tests were in accordance with ANOVA testing. Figure 5B shows the increasing plasma concentrations of resistin during insulin-stimulated conditions (HEC), as indicated by significant hyperinsulinemia factor (P < 0.001), whereas the effect of insulin on resistin expression was opposite (Fig. 5E). There is a significant decrease in resistin expressions during HEC in both telmisartan and placebo (hyperinsulinemia factor P < 0.01; Fig. 5E and F) without differences between telmisartan and placebo.
Differences between 0 and 30 min. differences between initial and current state; ***

Hypertension: hyperinsulinemia: P

The effects of telmisartan and placebo in individual stages of HEC. 

In our previous study, we did not find any significant changes in insulin sensitivity after acute administration of losartan in healthy subjects (28). Clinical trials with long-term administration of ARBs (other than telmisartan) using the HEC technique for estimation of insulin sensitivity reported both an increase (29, 30) and no effect (5, 15, 16, 31, 32) of ARBs on glucose disposal in insulin-resistant subjects. Telmisartan is considered to be a partial PPARγ agonist, and there is experimental evidence that it has specific metabolic advantages (18). Although telmisartan has gained much attention as one of the most metabolically potent ARB compounds, there are virtually no clinical studies evaluating the effect of telmisartan on insulin sensitivity and substrate utilization using the clamp technique combined with indirect calorimetry. However, a recent study evaluating the long-term effect of telmisartan on insulin sensitivity suggests that the magnitude of PPARγ stimulation by telmisartan may be modest compared with thiazolidinediones (26). On the other hand, some metabolic effects of telmisartan could be mediated independently of PPARγ (33). In addition, in a rat model of metabolic syndrome, it increases EE and protects against dietary-induced obesity (19).

In our study, we have failed to show a statistically significant effect of telmisartan on insulin sensitivity and substrate utilization, but our results might be influenced by the short period of the study and the small number of patients included. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has carefully evaluated the effect of telmisartan on insulin sensitivity and substrate utilization using the clamp technique combined with indirect calorimetry in human subjects.

The homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) index has been used in most of them (25, 34) and, thus, our study may be the first of its kind.

Insulin secretion has not been carefully evaluated in our study, but the improvement in blood glucose level after telmisartan treatment could be related to the improvement of β-cell function. It has been shown in experimental animals that treatment with telmisartan reduces oxidative stress and protects against islet β-cell damage and dysfunction (35).

The main finding of the present study is the significant short-term effect of telmisartan on adipokine production during insulin-stimulated conditions. Adiponectin, leptin and resistin plasma concentrations increased, whereas a decrease in TNFα had been found after telmisartan treatment. We also found an increase in basal leptin concentrations. The changes in plasma adipokines could not be directly explained by changes in their expressions in SAT. The short-term effect of telmisartan on adipokines during clamp-induced hyperinsulinemia has not been investigated up to this time; only the fasting plasma concentrations or adipose tissue expressions have been reported in the literature so far.

**Tumour necrosis factor α**

In the present study, the telmisartan treatment was followed by a decrease in plasma TNFα concentrations during insulin-stimulated conditions.
The expressions of TNFα in subcutaneous fat declined during hyperinsulinemia but were not affected by telmisartan treatment. The results are in agreement with the decrease in fasting TNFα in plasma reported after 8-month telmisartan treatment in hypertensive patients after stent implantation (36) and after 6- and 12-month administration of telmisartan in patients with metabolic syndrome (34). In addition, pro-inflammatory properties of TNFα could be attenuated by telmisartan. Inhibition of TNFα-induced IL6 expression in vascular smooth muscle cells has been reported after telmisartan treatment (37).

**Adiponectin**

In our study, telmisartan increased the plasma adiponectin concentrations during insulin-stimulated conditions, whereas the expressions of adiponectin in subcutaneous fat were not changed. In contrast to some (13, 27, 36, 38–40), but not all (25), previous studies, we failed to observe any stimulatory effect of telmisartan on basal plasma adiponectin concentrations. Only the trend of an increase has been found in our insulin-resistant subjects. The results could implicate the stronger metabolic effect of telmisartan during hyperinsulinemia compared to basal (pre-prandial) conditions. ARBs-induced activation of PPARγ is advocated (9). However, recently, it has been showed in 3T3-L1 adipocytes that telmisartan may stimulate adiponectin gene transcription independent of PPARγ (33).

**Leptin**

An overall increase in circulating leptin after the telmisartan treatment was documented in the present study. Telmisartan had no significant effect on leptin expressions in SAT, but the expression declined during hyperinsulinemia. The decline was independent of telmisartan treatment. In the literature, both increase (25) and decrease (34) in fasting leptin concentrations have been reported after long-term administration of telmisartan in hypertensive and type 2 diabetic patients. Moreover, the lack of effect of telmisartan on circulating leptin has been found as well (26). Telmisartan-induced increase in plasma concentrations of leptin might attenuate body weight gain by reduced food intake with HOMA-IR improvement (25). The effect of telmisartan on leptin increase could not be clarified within the context of metabolic processes in this short time study (25). However, in accordance with some authors, we have found a telmisartan-induced increase in leptin and this finding remains to be explained.

**Resistin**

To our surprise, in this study, an unexpected increase in plasma resistin concentration was found during hyperinsulinemia after telmisartan administration, which is a novel observation in vivo. In contrast, Derosa et al. (40) demonstrated a decrease in plasma resistin after the telmisartan treatment in type 2 diabetic patients.
However, only fasting concentrations were assessed, the treatment period was much longer (6 and 12 months), and the subjects were treated also by rosiglitazone (40). In our study, resistin expression decreased during the hyperinsulinemia independent of telmisartan treatment.

The changes in the plasma concentrations and tissue expressions of adiponectin, TNFα, leptin and resistin during hyperinsulinemia are discordant, and the expressions in SAT cannot explain the changes in circulating adipokines. This finding implicates the role of other sources of circulating adipokines – other fat depots or non-adipose sources (e.g. stromal vascular fraction of adipose tissue, macrophages or endothelium), which have not been addressed in the present study. However, the differences in the timing of blood sampling and biopsies should be considered as well.

In addition, the reduction in adipose tissue mass through weight loss in association with exercise can increase adiponectin concentrations and lower TNFα and IL6 levels in plasma, whereas drugs such as thiazolidinediones increase endogenous adiponectin production (34, 41). Body weight and exercise had been kept stable throughout our study, and the patients were not treated with oral hypoglycemic agents. Thus, we can exclude the interference of these confounding factors with effect of telmisartan.

The present study also allowed us to roughly examine the in vivo effect of insulin on adipokines and their expressions comparing the fasting values with insulin-stimulated conditions during clamp (hyperinsulinemia factor). Only a few studies have investigated the effect of insulin in vivo in human subjects. It has been shown by Westerbacka et al. (42) that insulin per se could increase the expression of a number of cytokines involved in insulin sensitivity in adipose tissue, including adiponectin and TNFα. We did not find any significant effect of acute in vivo hyperinsulinemia induced by clamp on plasma adiponectin, leptin or TNFα (the hyperinsulinemia factor was not significant). On the other hand, the increase in plasma resistin concentrations has been confirmed. An increase in plasma resistin during clamp-induced hyperinsulinemia was reported in our previous study in healthy subjects (28). Similarly, Heilbronn et al. (43) found an increase in serum resistin concentrations in response to supraphysiological doses of insulin (164 ± 5 mIU/l) in obese subjects with and without diabetes. Contrary to the result of Westerbacka et al. (42), the expressions of adiponectin did not change, and expressions of TNFα, leptin and resistin were even suppressed by acute hyperinsulinemia. However, the present crossover study was not designed to assess the acute effect of insulin on adipokines. The control infusion to match the volume expansion during clamp was not included. Furthermore, the biopsies were taken at 30 min of clamp – very early after starting the insulin infusion, which is also an important limitation factor of our study.

The major limitations of our study are small number of subjects and/or short study duration. We did not measure peripheral blood flow. We could not exclude that the effect of telmisartan has been mediated through the blood flow improvement as well as blood pressure improvement both directly and indirectly. Moreover, we were not able to measure tissue protein levels of adipokines, and thus we could not exclude that telmisartan controls post-transcriptional rather than transcriptional regulation. However, we found the effect of telmisartan on the parameters mentioned earlier in spite of the short treatment period. The timing of biopsy at 30 min before equilibrium status had been achieved might have an impact on the results while assessing the acute effect of insulin when euglycemia had not been reached. The biopsy should have been more appropriately performed during the last part of the clamp after 90 min.

We can conclude that, in patients with metabolic syndrome with impaired fasting glucose, a short-term treatment with telmisartan surprisingly increases plasma adiponectin, leptin and resistin concentrations, and decreases plasma TNFα levels. These results also implicate that the effect of telmisartan could be important during hyperinsulinemia, and this is the first study dealing with positive effect of telmisartan on plasma adipokines during hyperinsulinemia in patients with impaired fasting glucose. The changes in plasma concentrations of adipokines cannot be explained by their expressions in SAT. The results support the hypothesis that the changes in selected plasma adipokines might be involved in the beneficial metabolic effects of telmisartan in patients with metabolic syndrome.
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